Introduction & Background

Overview: Analysis of scratch paper from students solving DP problems can provide insight for how students commonly interact with examples and formulas when attempting to design DP algorithms. This analysis can help with building a tool that scaffolds learning this paradigm.

Related Work

- Effective use of scratch paper for code tracing has shown to be helpful in CS1.
- Dynamic Programming has shown to be one of the most difficult parts of algorithms courses.
- Visualization tools have been developed to help with visualizing running a DP algorithm, but none that directly target the *design* of the algorithm.

Methods

Collection: Scratch paper scans were collected from a separate think-aloud study in which students solved DP algorithm design problems with verbal guidance from the interviewer [1]. Scratch paper was collected for 18 students who together solved 27 problems.

Analysis: Scratch Paper scans were parsed for common usage patterns to develop a codebook. Once developed, authors independently coded all scratch paper for these usage. The codebook and frequency of codes is shown on the right.

Future Steps

Tool Development: We are developing a tool that scaffolds practicing DP algorithm design problems by providing an example input and acting as augmented scratch paper. We aim to ensure that the tool not only provides the affordances that students naturally utilize, as found in this poster's work, but also makes more clear how example inputs and outputs can be used to design subproblems and recurrences for Dynamic Programming algorithms.

How do Learners Use Scratch Paper when Working on Dynamic Programming Problems?

Zihan Wu[†], Jonathan Liu[‡], Erica Goodwin[‡], Diana Franklin[‡]

[†]University of Michigan [‡]University of Chicago



between gas stations)

Abstract example

Student drew abstract graphical representations or used symbols not necessarily representing the relationship between values, e.g.

(15% problems, 23% learners)

	1	10	8
-	3	Y	 2
	1	3	X
	1	X	C
-		7	X
\ 		X	9
		17	X
			17

Interaction with Examples

T make an A student wrote ques I make intermediate s

Anot checking all combos

Written

Student wro or thoughts language

(50% problems

(72% problems, 37% learners)

Mathematical Ind

Student attempted build a recurrence f sequence of consec cases

(15% problems, 23% l

T= nar T(1) = var Sc. 3, Abat Tay= vax Ec, , C23 T(3) = was flog, mx E(2, C, T(4) = nax (T(3), C43 T(4) = har (T(3), Ch +7

(1)	(2) (4) (5) (6) (6)				
(A student when they	used circles to represent "coins" in a problem, did not use circles for any other problems)				
F Studen to make realistic	Realistic representation t used graphic representations e the examples look more c in the context of the problem				
(79	% problems, 10% learners)				
informulied tion to themselv sum"	Sam Ses: "Can Decomposition by steps Student wrote the				
a student made notes: problem decomposition not checking all combos" problem decomposition for DP problems: base case, subproblem, and thoughts recurrence					
in natural Base case: Day 0 has enjoyment 0					
, 63% learners) Choice: stay on this days or don't stay.					
uction to from a					
	ICI+CZ S CZ >> take a				
earners)	else -> <2				
1+C33	Written logic with control flow Student wrote pseudo code or written logic that contains control flow (e.g. if-else, for, while)				
TGJZ	(57% problems, 73% learners)				